	ANDMICOLON DV DA CO	MILE (37 CER 1.8)	Docket No.
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8) Applicant(s): Austin et al.			END920030035US1
	Filtra Data	Examiner	Group Art Unit
Application No.	Filing Date 9/11/2004	Margret R. Wambach	2816
10/001,030			
Invention: PROGRAMMABLE LOW-POWER HIGH-FREQUENCY DIVIDER			
	RECEIVED		
CENTRAL TAX OFFICE			
			OCT 1 2 2004
to the second man (10 pages)			
I hereby certify that thisResponse to Restriction and Preliminary Amendment (19 pages)			
is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306			
on 10/12/200	4		
(Date)			
(Typed or Pfinight Name of Person Signing Gertificate)			
Kin I-tru Cont			
(Signature)			
			•
Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.			
			·
		•	
		·	
	•		
		•	

FAX NO.

OCT 1 2 2004

P. 02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Austin et al.

Docket No.: END920030035US1

Scrial No.: 10/661,050

Art Unit: 2816

Filed: September 11, 2004

Examiner: Margret R. Wambach

Title: PROGRAMMABLE LOW-POWER HIGH-FREQUENCY DIVIDER

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents & Trademarks P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on September 29, 2004, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group II, claims 10-25, drawn to a frequency divider classified in class 377, subclass 47. This election is made with traverse, and Applicants hereby reserve the right to file a divisional application in connection with uncleeted claims 1-9, drawn to a latch, classified in class 327, subclass 200 and to unelected claims 26-30, drawn to a frequency divider, classified in class 377, subclass 47. With regard to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants respectfully submit that the subject matter of all claims 1-30 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the search and the examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. See MPEP § 803, in which it is stated that "if the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the

merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions" (emphasis added). Applicants respectfully submit that this policy should apply in the present application in order to avoid unnecessary delay and expense to Applicants and duplicative examination by the Patent Office.

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

This paper is being filed in response to the Office Action mailed on September 29, 2004. Applicants request this Amendment be entered in the above-identified application prior to examination of the application on the merits.